



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,110	12/27/2005	David Peter Wharton	130098-1001	3572
66482	7590	07/22/2009	EXAMINER	
Law Offices of Tim Headley 7941 Katy Fwy, Suite 506 Houston, TX 77024-1924				OSTRUPL, CLINTON T
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3771				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tim.headley@headleyiplaw.com
weston.stewart@headleyiplaw.com
tim.headley@gmail.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/535,110	WHARTON ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
CLINTON OSTRUP	3771	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) CLINTON OSTRUP. (3) _____.

(2) TIM HEADLEY. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 July 2009

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Yes

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Clinton Ostrup/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Headley to determine which set of claims were to be examined. Mr. Headley said he thought the claim set to be examined was the claim set consisting of claims 1-63. However, there are four claim sets presented in this application (1-60), (1-63), (1-65) and (48-54) and without positive confirmation of which claim set is to be examined, the examiner respectfully request a clean copy of the pending claims in this application..